

**SECRET**

GUS - 0407

8 June 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR : Chief, Contracts Branch, DPD

SUBJECT : Review of Technical Data to be retained  
by CONVAIR, Project GUSTO

REFERENCE : Memo for C/TAS (GUS-0405) dtd 3 June 1960

25X1A

1. I suggest that representatives of Contracts Branch, Security Branch, and Development Branch, the latter being [redacted], review "sanitized" CONVAIR data.

2. I believe that the general ground rules for the "sanitization" should be:

- (a) No mention of sponsorship.
- (b) Complete deletion of the low radar reflectivity objectives.
- (c) No specific discussion of or data on the precise operational objectives, specifically possible operational basis, geographic coverage, etc.
- (d) Submersion of the precise aero dynamic performance within a generalized attainable performance parametric analysis.

3. I should like to review briefly the "sanitized" data as recommended by the group mentioned in paragraph 1.

25X1A

[redacted]  
Chief, Technical Analysis Staff  
DPD-DD/P

Distribution:

25X1A

Copy 1 - C/Contr/DPD  
2 - Secur/DPD  
3 - [redacted]  
4-5 - C/TAS  
6 - RI/DPD

DOCUMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. ☒  
☐ DECLASSIFIED  
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S 0  
NEXT REVIEW DATE: 2011  
AUTH: HR 70-2  
DATE: 4 JUN 1988 REVIEWER: 064540

1 Copy of 6 Copies

**SECRET**

*Technical Analysis Staff*

1. Destroy data on FISH and SH (air launch techniques and proposals) also specific KINGFISH data as pertains to special missions. [ ] engine data. Extra copies of reports and general data that is felt to be of no further use.
2. Review material desired to be used to comply with letter of [ ] and in addition delete words KINGFISH and FISH if and when they might appear.
3. Data to be released to the specific engineering groups, who were, in general, responsible for the compiling of the data, for their judicious use as reference in future requirement investigations.
4. AR data to be stored in a secure manner and not used in any way until some policy decision can be made. Convair desired to get into the general field of competition and feel that they are actually being discriminated against by not being able to indicate an extent of present capability.
5. Any proposal will be submitted for timely security review prior to presentation to DOD.

*File  
HL-4164/6  
TOP*